

# Interpretable groups and fields in various valued fields

Kobi Peterzil (joint with Yatir Halevi and Assaf Hasson)

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Haifa

June 2022  
Cetraro, Italy

## What is the project?

Let  $\mathcal{K} = (K, +, \cdot, v, \dots)$  be an expansion of a valued field. Under additional assumptions, we want to study definable and interpretable groups and fields in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### What is meant here by “Interpretable”?

A group  $(G; \cdot)$  is *interpretable* in  $\mathcal{K}$  if there are

(i) definable set  $X \subseteq K^n$  and a definable equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$ , and

(ii) a function  $M : (X/E)^2 \rightarrow X/E$  such that

$(G; \cdot) \simeq (X/E; M)$ , and the preimage under  $\pi$  of  $\text{Graph}(M)$  is a definable subset of  $X^3$ .

An interpretable field is similarly defined.

# The plan of this tutorial

**Talk I.** 3 settings and 4 distinguished sorts-the Closed Ball Property

**Talk II.** Dimension, rank and the Independent Neighborhood Property

**Talk III.** Infinitesimal subgroups of an interpretable group

# Valued Fields

## Definition

A (non-archimedean) valuation on a field  $K$  is a map  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ , for  $\Gamma$  an ordered abelian group, satisfying:

1.  $v(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ .
2.  $v(x \cdot y) = v(x) + v(y)$  (a homomorphism :  $K^\times \rightarrow (\Gamma, +)$ ).
3.  $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$

## Notation

For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \in K$ ,

$$B_{>\gamma}(a) = \{x \in K : v(x - a) > \gamma\} \quad B_{\geq\gamma}(a) = \{x \in K : v(x - a) \geq \gamma\}.$$

$\mathcal{O} = B_{\geq 0}$  the valuation ring

$\mathfrak{m} = B_{>0} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  the maximal ideal

$\mathfrak{k} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue field

## Some basic examples of valued fields

- $K = k((t))$  the field of Laurent series of some field  $k$

Let  $v(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k t^k) = \min\{k : a_k \neq 0\}$ . Here  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathbf{k} = k$ .

- ( **$p$ -adic valuation**) Consider  $\mathbb{Q}$ , fix  $p$  prime, and define  $v(a/b) = n$  if  $a/b = p^n(a'/b')$  with  $\gcd(a', b') = 1$ . Here  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_p$ ,

The valuation  $v$  endows  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a metric  $d(x, y) = p^{-v(x-y)} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- **The  $p$ -adic field**,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  = the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic metric. The elements can be written as

$$\sum_{n \geq m} a_n p^n,$$

with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq a_k \leq p-1$ , and addition with “carry over”.

We have  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

- **Finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$**  admit valuations extending the  $p$ -adic one.

Here  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{k}$  = finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

## The 3 main settings, with logic

We start with  $\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, v)$  a valued field in the signature of  $+, \cdot, v$ , equivalently  $\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  the valuation ring.

- **pCF,  $p$ -adically closed fields.**

$\mathcal{K}$  is elementarily equivalent to finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

We shall also consider “P-minimal expansions” (to be defined).

- **RCVF, Real closed valued fields.**

$\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, <, \mathcal{O})$ , where  $K$  is a real closed field and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq K$  a nontrivial convex (valuation) subring (containing  $1!$ ).

We shall also consider “ $T$ -convex, power bounded expansions” (t.b.d.).

- **ACVF<sub>0,0</sub>, algebraically closed valued fields.**

$\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$  be an algebraically closed field,  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  a nontrivial valuation ring,  $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ .

We shall also consider “V-minimal expansions” (not to be defined....).

# P-minimal expansions of $p$ -adically closed fields

Fix prime  $p$ , and assume that  $K$  is a  $p$ -adically closed field.

## Main properties

- The residue field  $\mathbf{k}$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- The ordered value group  $\Gamma$  (with the induced structure) is  $\equiv$  to  $(\mathbb{Z}; <, +)$ , namely a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group. (Note, closed balls in  $K$  are open balls).
- (Macintyre) Every definable subset of  $K$  is a boolean combination of singletons, balls and cosets of  $P_n = \{x \in K^* : \exists y \in K y^n = x\}$ .
- (v. d. Dries)  $\mathcal{K}$  has definable Skolem function (for the home sort  $K$ !).

## Definition (Haskell-Macpherson)

An expansion  $\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, v, \dots)$  is  $P$ -minimal if for every  $\mathcal{K}' \equiv \mathcal{K}$ ,

- $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}'}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group,
- every definable subset of  $K'$  is definable in the field language.

v.d.Dries-Haskell-Macpherson:  $Th(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{L}_{an})$  is  $P$ -minimal.

# The closed ball property-the P-minimal case

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  is P-minimal.

If  $X \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  is definable and intersects infinitely many closed  $\mathfrak{o}$ -balls then  $X$  contains a closed ball of radius  $< \mathfrak{o}$ .

In fact, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X$  contains a ball of radius  $< -k$ .

## A preliminary observation

Every ball with (valuative) radius in  $\mathbb{Z}$  intersects only finitely many closed  $\mathfrak{o}$ -balls. Indeed, this follows directly from the fact that the residue field is finite.



# Proof of closed ball prop. (thanks to D. Macpherson)

(For simplicity of presentation, assume saturation)

- ▶ Using P-minimality (and  $+$ -translation), we may assume  $X = \{x \in K : \gamma_1 < v(x) < \gamma_2 \text{ and } \lambda x \in P_n\}$ , for  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ , and  $\lambda \in K$ , the intersection of an annulus with a coset of  $P_n^*$ .
- ▶ By the observation,  $\gamma_1 < \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  with  $v(x_0) = \gamma_0 < \mathbb{Z}$ . So,  $\gamma_0 < \gamma_2$ .
- ▶ **We claim that  $B_{\geq \gamma_0/2}(x_0) \subseteq X$ :**
- ▶ If  $x \in B_{\geq \gamma_0/2}(x_0)$  then  $\gamma_1 < v(x) = v(x_0) < \gamma_2$ .  
Enough to see  $x^{-1}x_0 \in P_n^*$  (hence also  $\lambda x \in P_n^*$ ):
- ▶ Let  $f(Y) = Y^n - x^{-1}x_0 \in \mathcal{O}[Y]$ .
- ▶  $v(f(1)) = v(1 - x^{-1}x_0) = v((x - x_0)/x) = v(x - x_0) - v(x) = -\gamma_0/2 > \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶  $v(f'(1)) = v(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $v(f(1)) > 2v(f'(1))$ .
- ▶ By Hensel's lemma,  $f(Y)$  has a root in  $\mathcal{O}$ , hence  $x^{-1}x_0 \in P_n$ . □

## $T$ -convex real closed valued fields

Let  $K$  be real closed,  $\mathcal{M} = (K; <, +, \cdot, \dots)$  o-minimal, polynomially bounded (or “power bounded”).

Let  $\mathcal{O} \subsetneq K$  be a convex ring, closed under all  $\emptyset$ -definable continuous functions  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow K$  ( $T$ -convex). In particular,  $\mathcal{O}$  is a valuation ring.

### Theorem (v.d. Dries-Lewenberg, v.d. Dries)

The expansion  $\mathcal{K} = (K; <, +, \cdot, \mathcal{O}, \dots)$  is a real closed valued field.

- (no need for “power bounded”)  $(K; <, \dots)$  is weakly o-minimal and has definable Skolem functions, after naming  $a \gg \mathcal{O}$ .
- The residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ , with induced structure, is an o-minimal structure, elementarily equivalent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- The value group  $\Gamma$ , with the induced structure, is an ordered vector space, over “the field of powers”.
- (Tyne) Every definable subset of  $K$  is a boolean combination of balls and intervals.

# The closed balls property-the T-convex case

## Theorem

Assume  $X \subseteq K$  is a definable set, which intersects infinitely many closed balls of radius  $\epsilon$ .

Then  $X$  contains at least one closed ball of radius  $< \epsilon$ .

**Note that this fails for open balls.**

## Proof:

- ▶ By weak o-minimality,  $X$  is a finite union of convex subsets of  $K$  so we may assume that  $X$  is convex.
- ▶ Balls are convex sets. Hence,  $X$  must contain infinitely many closed  $\epsilon$ -balls.
- ▶ (Because balls are closed) There are  $x_1 < x_2$  in  $X$  with  $v(x_2 - x_1) = \gamma < \epsilon$ .
- ▶ The ball  $B_{\geq \gamma/2}(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2})$  is contained in the interval  $(x_1, x_2) \subseteq X$ .  $\square$

# C-minimal expansions of $ACVF_0$ .

## Definition

An expansion  $\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, v, \dots)$  of algebraically closed valued field of char 0 is *C-minimal* if in every  $\mathcal{K}' \equiv \mathcal{K}$ , every definable subset of  $K'$  is quantifier-free definable in the valued field language.

## Theorems

1. (Robinson) Algebraically closed valued fields are C-minimal.
2. (Haskell-Macpherson) If  $\mathcal{K}$  is C-minimal then
  - $\Gamma$ , with induced structure, is an ordered divisible abelian group (o-minimal).
  - The residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ , with induced structure, is a strongly minimal expansion of an algebraically closed field.

## The closed ball property, the C-minimal case

### Theorem

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is C-minimal and  $X \subseteq K$  definable and intersects infinitely many closed  $\mathbf{0}$ -balls then  $X$  contains a closed ball of radius  $\gamma < \mathbf{0}$ .

Below, a *maximal sub-ball* of  $X$  is a ball  $B \subseteq X$  which is not properly contained in any other ball in  $X$ .

### Conclusion in all settings from the closed ball property

If  $X \subseteq K$  is definable then there are at most finitely many maximal closed sub-balls of  $X$  of every fixed radius in  $X$ .

# Elimination of imaginaries

The “correct” model theoretic machinery isolates some basic sorts in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$  and reduces analysis of **all** definable quotients to these sorts.

Sometimes these sorts are not needed (ACF, o-minimal expansions of groups,  $DCF_0$ )

## Some theorems on elimination of imaginaries in valued fields

- (Haskell-Hrushovski-Macpherson) Algebraically closed valued fields eliminate imaginaries when we add “geometric sorts”.
- (Mellor) Real closed valued fields eliminate imaginaries with the “geometric sorts”.
- (Hrushovski, Martin, Rideau-Kikuchi)  $p$ -adically closed fields eliminate imaginaries in appropriate language.

# The 4 distinguished sorts

## Difficulties in applications of the EI results

The geometric sorts are complex, of unbounded dimension, it is not simple to understand definable quotients through them.

## A lazy way out

Instead, we drop the hope to **fully** analyze interpretable groups and fields via the special sorts. We focus our attention on 4 “one dimensional distinguished sorts”, and analyze groups and fields **locally** through these.

## The 4 distinguished sorts

- $K$
- $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{k}$ , open  $\mathbf{0}$ -balls.
- $K/\mathcal{O}$ , the closed  $\mathbf{0}$ -balls.
- $K^*/\mathcal{O}^* = \Gamma$ .

# Reduction to the 4 distinguished sorts

## Theorem: Reduction to the distinguished sorts

Let  $\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, \dots)$  be an  $\omega$ -saturated

- (i) C-minimal expansion of ACVF, or
- (ii)  $T$ -convex expansion of RCVF, or
- (iii)  $P$ -minimal expansion of  $p$ -adically closed field.

If  $X/E$  is a definable infinite quotient,  $X \subseteq K^n$ , then there exists an infinite definable  $Y \subseteq X/E$ , and a definable finite-to-finite correspondence between  $Y$  and a subset of  $K, \mathbf{k}, K/\mathcal{O}$ , or  $\Gamma$ .

### Proof

**Step 1:** (totally general) There exists a finite-to-finite definable correspondence between an infinite subsets of  $X/E$  and  $K/E_1$  for some definable equivalence relation  $E_1$  on  $K$ :

This is discrete mathematics (no connection to fields). Exercise.



## Step 2

### Note: all value groups have Definable Choice

We have  $X/E$ , for  $X \subseteq K$ .

- ▶ For each  $E$ -class  $C \in X/E$ , let  $S_{C,max}$  be the set of all maximal balls (**open, closed, or singletons**) inside  $C$ . It is definable.
- ▶ Using Definable Choice, let  $\gamma_C \in \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  be one of the radii of balls in  $S_{C,max}$ . We may assume that for all  $C \in X/E$ , all  $b \in S_{max,C}$  have the same radius  $\gamma(C)$ .
- ▶ **Case 1** The map  $C \mapsto \gamma(C)$  is finite-to-one. Then  $X/E \sim \Gamma$ .
- ▶ **Case 2** There is  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  with  $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma_0) \subseteq X/E$  infinite. This is the new  $X/E$ . Now, all maximal balls in all classes have the same radius  $\gamma_0$ .

## Proof continues

So we now assume that for every class  $C \in X/E$ , every maximal ball has the same radius  $\gamma_0$ .

- ▶ If  $\gamma_0 = \infty$  then every  $C$  is a union of isolated points so finite.  
 $X/E \sim K$ .
- ▶ So assume  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ . By the closed ball property, each  $C$  intersects at most finitely many closed balls of radius  $\gamma_0$ .
- ▶ So, we have a 1-finite map from  $X/E$  into “the closed balls of radius  $\gamma_0$ ”  $\sim K/O$ .
- ▶ **Case 2.1** Each closed ball of radius  $\gamma_0$  intersects at most finitely many  $C$ 's. In this case  $X/E \sim X/O$ .
- ▶ **Case 2.2** Some closed ball  $b_0$  of radius  $\gamma_0$  intersects  $\infty$ -many classes  $C$ . WMA all balls in  $S_{max,C}$  are contained in  $b_0$ , so balls in  $S_{max,C}$  must be open..... Working in  $B_{\geq \gamma_0}/B_{> \gamma_0} \sim \mathbf{k}$ , we get  $X/E \sim \mathbf{k}$ .



## Dimension and rank

In all settings (ACVF, RCVF, pCF), **in the home sort**  $K$ ,  $acl$  satisfies Steinitz Exchange and  $\exists^\infty$  is eliminated, thus these are **geometric structures**-we have a good notion of dimension with additivity:

$$\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in K, \text{ and } A, \dim(\bar{a}, \bar{b}/A) = \dim(\bar{a}/\bar{b}A) + \dim(\bar{b}/A).$$

However, what is a good dimension for definable quotients?

### Option 1

(Gagelman 2004): If  $D$  a geometric structure then one can extend dimension to  $D^{eq}$  and maintain additivity.

**Problem:** The sorts  $\Gamma$ ,  $K/\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  are all 0-dimensional (because the equivalence classes are 1-dimensional), so we “lose them”.

## Option 2: dp-rank (Usvyatsov, 2009)

The notion of **dp-rk** is defined for any tuple and definable set in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ . (we omit the definition). We have:  $\text{dp-rk}(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$  iff  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  is NIP.

### Basic properties

- (1)  $\text{dp-rk}(X) = 0$  iff  $X$  is finite.
- (2) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is definable then  $\text{dp-rk}(Y) \leq \text{dp-rk}(X)$ .
- (3)  $\text{dp-rk}(X \times Y) = \text{dp-rk}(X) + \text{dp-rk}(Y)$ .
- (4)  $\text{dp-rk}(X \cup Y) = \max\{\text{dp-rk}(X), \text{dp-rk}(Y)\}$ .
- (5) (*Subadditivity*) (Kaplan-Onshuus-Usvyatsov 2011)  
 $\text{dp-rk}(a, b/A) \leq \text{dp-rk}(a/bA) + \text{dp-rk}(b/A)$

### The rank of the distinguished sorts is 1

- In all of our cases,  $\text{dp-rk}(K) = 1$  ( $K$  is **dp-minimal**).
- $\text{dp-rk}(K/\mathcal{O}) = \text{dp-rk}(\Gamma) = 1$  (infinite image of an infinite subset of  $K$ ).
- If  $\mathbf{k}$  infinite then  $\text{dp-rk}(\mathbf{k}) = 1$ .

## An important example $K/\mathcal{O}$

Take  $\mathcal{K} = (K; <, +, \cdot, \mathcal{O})$  an RCVF.

- ▶ Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is a convex subgroup, the sort  $(K/\mathcal{O}; +, <)$  is a linearly ordered, weakly o-minimal (non-pure!) group.
- ▶ Fix  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$  ( $v(\alpha) > 0$ ). For  $x - y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\alpha \cdot x - \alpha \cdot y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  
Thus  $x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x$  **descends** to an endomorphism  $\alpha^* : K/\mathcal{O} \rightarrow K/\mathcal{O}$ .
- ▶  $\ker(\alpha^*) = \{x + \mathcal{O} : \alpha \cdot x \in \mathcal{O}\} = \{x + \mathcal{O} : v(x) \geq -v(\alpha)\}$ , so  $\alpha^*$  is locally constant.  $\Rightarrow K/\mathcal{O}$  is **not** a geometric structure-No Exchange: Take  $a \in K$  generic over  $\alpha$ ,  $b = \alpha^*(a)$ . Then  $b \in \text{acl}(a, \alpha) \setminus \text{acl}(\alpha)$  but  $a \notin \text{acl}(b, \alpha)$ .

Although there is no Exchange,  $\text{dp-rk}(\bar{b}/A) = \dim_{\text{acl}}(\bar{b}/A)$  !!!

$\dim_{\text{acl}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) =$  maximal size of an *acl*-independent sub-tuple.

**Fact: dp-rank and algebraic closure**

In all distinguished sorts in our settings,  $\text{dp-rk} = \dim_{\text{acl}}$

# Simon-Walsberg uniformities (2015)

## Definition

Let  $D$  be a dp-minimal expansion of a definable Hausdorff uniformity (e.g. topological group). We call it a *an SW-uniformity* if

1.  $D$  has no isolated points.
2. Every infinite definable  $X \subseteq D$  has non-empty interior.

## Examples

- O-minimal and weakly o-minimal structures (dense linear order).
- (Jahnke-Simon-Walsberg, Johnson) Every dp-minimal expansion of (nontrivially) valued field is an sw uniformity.
- in pCF,  $(\Gamma, <, +)$  and  $K/\mathcal{O}$  are not SW uniformities!

## Important properties of SW uniformities (Simon-Walsberg)

- (1)  $\text{dp-rk} = \text{dim}_{acl}$ . (2) Definable functions are continuous at generic points. (3)  $\text{dp-rk Frontier}(X) < \text{dp-rk}(X)$ .

# The Independent Neighborhood property, version 1

## A topological version

Let  $D$  be an SW uniformity. Let  $X \subseteq D^n$  be a definable set (over any parameters),  $a \in \text{Int}(X)$ , and  $A$  any parameter set.

Then there exists  $C \supseteq A$  and a  $C$ -definable open  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $a \in U$ , such that  $\text{dp-rk}(a/C) = \text{dp-rk}(a/A)$ . Moreover,  $U = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \subseteq D^n$ .

## Example

$\mathcal{M}$  o-minimal,  $X \subseteq M^2$ ,  $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \text{Int}(X)$ . Then we can find open intervals  $(b_1, b_2) \ni a_1$ ,  $(b_3, b_4) \ni a_2$ , such that  $(b_1, b_2) \times (b_3, b_4) \subseteq X$  and  $\dim(a/b_1 \cdots b_4) = \dim(a/A)$ .

# One application

## A simple application

Assume that  $D$  is an SW uniformity  $Y \subseteq D^n$  definable and  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  definable finite-to-one, all defined over  $A$ .

Then, for every generic  $x_0 \in Y$  over  $A$ , there exists  $C \supseteq A$ , such that  $\text{dp-rk}(x_0/C) = \text{dp-rk}(x_0/A)$ , and a  $C$ -definable open  $U \ni x_0$  such that  $f \upharpoonright U \cap Y$  is injective.

**Proof** First choose any open  $X \ni x_0$  such that  $f^{-1}(f(x_0)) \cap X = \{x_0\}$ . Then apply the IN property to replace  $X$  by  $U \subseteq X$ .  $\square$



# The Independent Neighborhood property, version 2

## The case of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$

What to do with distinguished sorts that are not SW uniformities?

E.g.  $\Gamma$  and  $K/\mathcal{O}$  in the  $p$ -adic case: the natural topology on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$  is discrete.

## a non-topological version, for $\text{dp-rk}(D) = 1$

**Property (IN)** For  $A, B$  any parameter sets,  $X \subseteq D^n$   $B$ -definable, and  $a \in X$ , such that  $\text{dp-rk}(a/B) = n = \text{dp-rk}(a/A)$ .

Then there exists  $C \supseteq A$  and a  $C$ -definable  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $a \in U$  and  $\text{dp-rk}(a/C) = n$ . Moreover,  $U = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \subseteq D^n$ .

## Examples of Property (IN)

- Property (IN) fails for, say, ACF.
- Property (IN) holds for SW uniformities, and for  $\Gamma$  and  $K/\mathcal{O}$  in pCF.
- **Question/Conjecture:** Is (IN) true in every distal (dp-minimal) structure?

# An application of property (IN)

## Theorem (A filter base)

Assume that  $\text{dp-rk}(D) = 1$  satisfies (IN), and  $a \in D^n$ , with  $\text{dp-rk}(a/\emptyset) = n$ . Consider the global type:

$$\nu(a) = \{X \subseteq D^n \text{ definable over } A \subseteq M : a \in X, \text{ and } \text{dp-rk}(a/A) = n\}.$$

Then (1)  $D^n \in \nu(a)$ . (2) For every  $X, Y \in \nu(a)$  there is  $Z \in \nu(a)$  such that  $Z \subseteq X \cap Y$ . In particular,  $\nu(a)$  is consistent of rank  $n$ .

## Proof

- ▶ Assume  $X, Y$  are definable over  $A, B$ , respectively.
- ▶ By (IN), there are  $C \supset A$ , and  $Y' \subseteq Y$  which is  $C$ -definable,  $a \in Y'$ , such that  $\text{dp-rk}(a/C) = n$ .
- ▶ Let  $Z := X \cap Y' \subseteq X \cap Y$ . Then  $Z$  is definable over  $C$ ,  $a \in Z$ , and  $\text{dp-rk}(a/C) = n$ , so  $Z \in \nu(a)$ .  $\square$

## Summary so far

### Which distinguished sorts are SW uniformities?

- In the ACVF case,  $K$ ,  $K/\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Gamma$  are SW uniformities. ( $\mathbf{k}$  is stable, so no definable Hausdorff topology).
- In the RCVF case,  $K$ ,  $K/\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\mathbf{k}$  are SW uniformities.
- in the pCF case, only  $K$  is an SW uniformity.  $K/\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Gamma$  are not.

### Which distinguished sorts satisfy property (IN)?

- All SW uniformities.
- **Proposition:** In the pCF case,  $K/\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy (IN) ( $\mathbf{k}$  is finite)
- In the ACVF case,  $\mathbf{k}$  does not satisfy (IN).

## Interpretable groups

Let  $G$  be an interpretable group in one of our settings.

We saw that there is a definable correspondence between an infinite  $X \subseteq G$  and one of the distinguished sorts  $D = K, K/\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{k}, \Gamma$ .

With a bit more work, there is a finite-to-one map  $f : X \subseteq G \rightarrow D^n$ .

### Example of $\text{dp-rk}(G) = 1$

- $G = K/\mathcal{O}$ . In the ACVF and RCVF,  $G$  is a divisible abelian group.

In  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ :  $G = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  is the Prüfer group .

- $(G = RV)$

$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow RV = K^*/(1 + \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0$ . It contains a copy of  $\mathbf{k}^*$ .

When  $\mathbf{k}$  is finite (pCF), then the angular component is definable, and then the set  $\{x(1 + \mathfrak{m}) \in RV : \text{ac}(x) = 1\}$  in bijection with  $\Gamma$ .

- $G = (K/\mathfrak{m}, +)$ . It is dp-minimal and contains a copy of  $(\mathbf{k}, +)$ .

## Another example

- Let  $G = (K, +) \times (K/\mathcal{O}, +)$ .
- $\text{dp-rk}(G) = 2$ .
- $G$  has definable sets in correspondence (even bijection) with both  $K$  and  $K/\mathcal{O}$ .
- Clearly, every  $K \times \{y\}$  is such a set. Also, the graph of  $\pi : K \rightarrow K/\mathcal{O}$  is a definable subset (subgroup) of  $G$  in bijection with  $K$ .
- The only subsets of  $G$  in finite-to-finite correspondence (bijection) with  $K/\mathcal{O}$  are of the form  $\{a\} \times K/\mathcal{O}$ .

# Interpretable groups-the main theorem

## Main theorem

Let  $\mathcal{K} = (K; +, \cdot, v, \dots)$  be either

- (i) a (V-minimal expansion of)  $\text{ACVF}_{0,0}$ , or
- (ii) a polynomially (power) bounded T-convex expansion of  $\text{RCVF}$ , or
- (iii) a pCF.

Let  $G$  be an infinite group interpretable in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Then, after possibly replacing  $G$  with  $G/H$  for  $H$  finite normal, there exists an infinite type-definable subgroup  $\nu \subseteq G$  such that:

1.  $\nu$  is definably isomorphic to a type definable group in  $K$  or in  $\mathbf{k}$ , or
2.  $\nu$  is definably isomorphic to a type definable **subgroup** of  $(\Gamma^n, +)$ , or a **definable** subgroup of  $((K/\mathcal{O})^n, +)$ .

Moreover, in all cases,  $\nu$  has unbounded exponent.

# Dp-minimal groups

## Corollary

If  $G$  as above, and  $\text{dp-rk}(G) = 1$  then  $G$  is abelian-by-finite.

## Proof

- ▶ By Simon,  $G$  contains a definable abelian normal  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $G/H$  has bounded exponent.
- ▶ By Theorem, if  $G/H$  is infinite then it contains a subgroup of unbounded exponent, hence  $G/H$  must be finite. □

## Simonetta's counter-example

There is a dp-minimal group in  $\text{ACVF}_{p,p}$  that is solvable of step 2 (so not abelian-by-finite).

## Previous (partial?) results, on groups and fields in valued fields

- ▶ Pillay on definable groups and fields in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,
- ▶ Hrushovski-Pillay on definable groups in local fields,
- ▶ Hrushovski-Rideau-Kikuchi on metastable groups and interpretable fields in ACVF,
- ▶ Bays-Pe, on definable fields in RCVF
- ▶ Acosta on 1-dim definable groups in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,
- ▶ Johnson-Yao on (non) definably compact groups in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,
- ▶ Johnson on interpretable groups in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,
- ▶ Onshuus-Vacaria groups in Presburger arithmetic.
- ▶ Gismatulin, Halupczock and Macpherson on definably simple groups in valued fields (in preparation).
- ▶ Cassani, on interpretability of trees in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (in preparation)
- ▶ Alouf, Fornasiero, Gonzales, interpretable fields of dimension  $> 0$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (in preparation)



## Back to the Theorem: Strong internality to a sort $D$

We have an interpretable group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{K} \models \text{RCVF, ACVF or pCF}$ .

### Definition

A definable  $X \subseteq G$  is called **strongly internal** to a set  $D$  if there is an injective  $f : X \rightarrow D^n$ . If  $X$  has maximal dp-rank as such, we call it  **$D$ -critical**.

If  $f : X \rightarrow D^n$  is finite-to-one we call  $X$  **almost strongly internal** to  $D$ . And if it has maximal dp-rank as such, **and** minimal finite fibers then we call it **almost  $D$ -critical**.

### Warning

If  $X \subseteq G$  is  $D$ -critical it does **not** mean that it is also almost  $D$ -critical. (Example, at the end if there is time)

### So far, we showed:

There exists an infinite definable  $X \subseteq G$ , which is almost strongly internal to  $D = K, K/\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{k}$  or  $\Gamma$ .

# One simple case

## The strongly minimal case

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be an ACVF.

Assume that there exists an infinite subset of  $G$  that is almost strongly internal to the residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then there exists a definable infinite normal subgroup  $H \trianglelefteq G$  and a finite  $H_0 \trianglelefteq H$  such that  $H/H_0$  is definably isomorphic to a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebraic group.

The proof is some local version of Zil'ber's indecomposability theorem.

## Example

$G = (K/\mathfrak{m}, +)$ . Then  $G \supseteq H = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbf{k}$ , and  $H$  is algebraic.

**Note:** The quotient  $G/H$  is again of rank 1, and  $G/H \cong K/\mathcal{O}$ .

## The unstable case: $\neg (\mathcal{K} \models \text{ACVF} \text{ and } D = \mathbf{k})$

Assume that either  $\mathcal{K} \models \text{RCVF}$ , or  $\mathcal{K} \models \text{pCF}$ , or  $\mathcal{K} \models \text{ACVF}$ , but  $D \neq \mathbf{k}$ .

### Main Lemma (almost true)

Assume that  $X, Y \subseteq G$  are  $A$ -definable, infinite and almost  $D$ -critical, and let  $(a, b) \in X \times Y$  be generic, namely  $(\text{dp-rk}(a, b/A) = \text{dp-rk}(X) + \text{dp-rk}(Y) = 2\text{dp-rk}(X))$ .

Then, there are  $X_1 \subseteq X, Y_1 \subseteq Y, (a, b) \in X_1 \times Y_1$ , definable over  $B \supseteq A$ , such that  $\text{dp-rk}(a, b/B) = \text{dp-rk}(a, b/A)$ , such that

$$X_1 \cdot Y_1 \subseteq X \cdot b \text{ and } X_1 \cdot Y_1 \subseteq a \cdot Y.$$

### Warning

In the above  $\text{dp-rk}(X_1 \cdot Y_1) = \text{dp-rk}(X) = \text{dp-rk}(Y)$  but we are **not** claiming that  $\text{dp-rk}(X \cdot Y) = \text{dp-rk}(X) = \text{dp-rk}(Y)$ ! This is false in general.

## Example-in any of the settings

Let  $\pi : K \rightarrow K/\mathcal{O}$ , and  $G = K \times K/\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\text{dp-rk}(G) = 2$ .

$$X = \{(x, \pi(x)) \in G : x \in K\} \quad Y = \{(x, -\pi(x)) \in G : x \in K\}.$$

Each has rank 1, and is in local bijection with  $K$ , so  $X, Y$  are strongly internal to  $K$ . They are also of maximal rank in  $G$  as such, so  $K$ -critical in  $G$ .

For  $a = (x, \pi(x)) \in X$  and  $b = (y, -\pi(y)) \in Y$ , take

$$X_1 = (x + \mathcal{O}) \times \{\pi(x)\} \subseteq X \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1 = (y + \mathcal{O}) \times \{-\pi(y)\} \subseteq Y.$$

These are cosets of the same subgroup of  $G$ , so

$$X_1 + Y_1 = (x + y + \mathcal{O}) \times \pi(x) + \pi(y) \subseteq X + b.$$

So,  $\text{dp-rk}(X_1 + Y_1) = 1$ .

However,  $X + Y = G$ , so  $\text{dp-rk}(X + Y) = 2$ .

## Interpretable groups (cont)

### From finite-to-one to injective

Assume that  $X \subseteq G$  is almost  $D$ -critical, witnessed by  $f : X \rightarrow D^n$  (so  $f$  has smallest possible fibers). Then there is a finite normal subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ , and  $X' \subseteq X$  with  $\text{dp-rk}(X') = \text{dp-rk}(X)$ , such that  $f : X' \rightarrow D^n$  factors through  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ .

**Proof** (a-la Hrushovski's thesis). For  $(a, b) \in X^2$  generic, get  $X_1, Y_1 \subseteq X$  as in main lemma. Then  $X_1 \cdot Y_1 \subseteq X \cdot b$ . Let  $(a_1, b_1) \in X_1 \times Y_1$  generic over  $(a, b)$ .

Consider  $x \mapsto (f(x), f(xb_1b^{-1})) \in D^{2n}$ . It cannot have smaller fibers than  $f$ , thus... (here  $[x]_f = f^{-1}(f(x))$ ).

$$[a_1]_f \cdot b_1 = [a_1]_f \cdot [b_1]_f = a \cdot [b_1]_f.$$

### Finish using the following fact on groups

If  $A, B \subseteq G$  and  $A \cdot b = A \cdot B = a \cdot B$  then  $A$  and  $B$  are right and left cosets of the same subgroup  $H$ .

# The infinitesimal vicinity

## After replacing $G$ with $G/H$ for $H$ finite and normal

We assume now that there exists an infinite definable  $X \subseteq G$  which can be injected into  $D^m$ , for some distinguished sort  $D$ , all over some  $A$ . We take it of maximal rank, namely  $D$ -critical. We fix  $a \in X$  with  $\text{dp-rk}(a/A) = \text{dp-rk}(X) =: n$ .

### Definition: The infinitesimal vicinity of $a$ in $X$

We consider the **global** partial type:

$$\nu_X(a) = \{Y \subseteq X \text{ definable over } B \subseteq M : a \in Y, \text{ dp-rk}(a/B) = n\}.$$

By the “filter base” result, it is consistent and  $\text{dp-rk}(\nu_X(a)) = \text{dp-rk}(X)$ .

- ▶ When  $D$  is o-minimal then  $\nu_X(a)$  is logically equivalent to the intersection of all  $\mathcal{K}$ -definable open neighborhoods of  $a$  in  $X$ .
- ▶ When  $D = \Gamma$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group, and  $a \in X = n \cdot \Gamma$ , then  $\nu_X(a)$  is all  $\mathcal{K}$ -definable “long” intervals containing  $a$  in  $n\Gamma$ . Well, not really.

## The main properties of $\nu_X(a)$

Using the Main Lemma, we have:

### Theorem

For  $X \subseteq G$ , a  $D$ -critical set, and  $a \in X$  generic.

1. The set  $\nu_X(a)$  is a coset of a type def. subgroup  $\nu_X(a)a^{-1} \subseteq G$ .
2. This subgroup does not depend on choice of  $a$  and the  $D$ -critical  $X$ , denote it by  $\nu_D \subseteq G$ , **the type-definable subgroup of  $G$  associated to the sort  $D$ .**
3. The group  $\nu_D \subseteq G$  is (clearly) definably isomorphic to a group that is type-definable in the sort  $D$ .

# The linearity of $D = K/\mathcal{O}$ and $D = \Gamma$

## Facts/Theorem

1. In  $\Gamma$ : Definable functions in  $\Gamma$  are generically affine:  
 $f(x) = L(x - a) + f(a)$ , for  $L : \Gamma^n \rightarrow \Gamma$  additive.  
This follows from QE, either for ordered vector space or Presburger arithmetic.
2. (HHP) In  $K/\mathcal{O}$ , all settings: every definable function is generically affine (uses definable Skolem functions in  $K$  and 1-h-minimality).

Using “group-chunk” methods, a-la Marikova,

## Corollary

If  $D = \Gamma$  or  $D = K/\mathcal{O}$  then  $\nu_D \subseteq G$  is definably isomorphic to a type definable **subgroup of**  $(D^n, +)$ .

When  $D = K/\mathcal{O}$  then we can replace  $\nu$  by a **definable** subgroup of  $G$ .  
In both cases,  $\nu_D$  has unbounded exponent.



## The case of $D = K$ and real closed $D = \mathbf{k}$

Definable functions in  $K \models ACVF \vee T\text{-convex} \vee pcF$  and in o-minimal  $\mathbf{k}$  are generically differentiable with respect to  $K$  and  $\mathbf{k}$ , respectively. Again, using group Chunk a-la-Marikova,

### Corollary

If  $D = K$  or  $\mathbf{k}$  as above, then  $\nu_D$  can be endowed with the structure of a differentiable group w.r to  $K$  and  $\mathbf{k}$ .

### Corollary

The group  $\nu_D$  is torsion-free (so  $G$  has unbounded exponent)

**Proof** (thanks to Starchenko)

- ▶ The group is differentiable. Consider  $M(x, y) = x \cdot y$ .
- ▶ The Jacobian of  $M$  at  $(e, e)$  is  $Jac(M)_{e,e}(u, v) = u + v$ .
- ▶  $\Rightarrow Jac(x \mapsto x^n)_e(v) = nv \neq 0$  ( $char K, char \mathbf{k} \neq 0$ ).
- ▶ Hence,  $x^n \neq e$  for  $x$  sufficiently close to  $e$ .
- ▶  $\Rightarrow \nu_D$  is torsion-free.



# Thoughts and Open questions

## The dp-minimal case- a warning

It easily follows from the main theorem that there is no finite-to-finite correspondence between infinite subsets of  $K, K/\mathcal{O}, \Gamma, \mathbf{k}$ . Thus, if  $G$  is dp-minimal then it can be in correspondence with exactly one of the four sorts.

However, if  $G \sim D$  and  $H \subseteq G$  normal infinite then  $G/H$  might be in correspondence with a different  $D$ .

E.g.  $G = K/\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathbf{k} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} \subseteq G$  but  $G/\mathbf{k} \cong K/\mathcal{O}$ .

Given an interpretable group  $G$ ,

- Is the sum of  $\text{dp-rk}(\nu_D)$ , for  $D = K, K/\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{k}, \Gamma$ , equal to  $\text{dp-rk}(G)$ ?
- Is  $\dim(G) = \dim(\nu_D)$ , for  $D = K$  (here  $\dim$  is the geometric dimension)?
- What is the interaction between the four different groups  $\nu_D$ 's?
- Can the results be extended to the general P-minimal setting, to  $ACVF_p$ ?

# Interpretable fields

Using similar methods, we proved earlier:

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be either

- (i) (V-minimal expansion of )  $\text{ACVF}_{(0,0)}$ , or
- (ii) power bounded,  $T$ -convex, or
- (iii) P-minimal with generic differentiability.

If  $F$  is interpretable field in  $\mathcal{K}$  then it is definably isomorphic to a finite extension of  $K$  or  $\mathbf{k}$ .